	Case 8:11-ci	-00148-JVS	Document 207	Filed 08/11/11	Page 1 of 4	Page ID #:477	
1 2 3 4					Ο		
5 6 7							
8 9 10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
11 12 13 14	UNITED S AMERICA	TATES OF , Pla vs.)	Case No. SA CF			
15 16	LUCIANO ROMERO , Defendant.						
17				I			
18	A.	(X) On m	notion of the Go	vernment in a c	ase allegedly	involving:	
19		1. (X)	a crime of viol				
20		2. ()	an offense with	ı maximum sent	ence of life in	nprisonment or	
21		death.	.•	. 11 1 1 .	cc	•.1	
22		3. (X)		controlled substa	ance offense	with maximum	
2324			ten or more yea		t convicted o	of two or more	
25		· /	ses described abo	vhere defendan	i convicted (of two of more	
26		5. ()		at is not otherw	ise a crime c	of violence that	
27		· /	ninor victim, or				
28				1			
				1			

1	device or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18						
2	U.S.C. § 2250.						
3	B. () On motion by the Government/() on Court's own motion, in a						
4	case allegedly involving:						
5	1. () a serious risk that the defendant will flee.						
6	2. () a serious risk that the defendant will:						
7	a. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.						
8	b. () threaten, injure or intimidate a prospective witness or						
9	juror, or attempt to do so.						
0	C. The Government (X) is/() is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that						
11	no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the						
12	defendant's appearance as required and the safety or any person or the						
13	community.						
14							
15	II						
16	A. () The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions						
17	will reasonably assure:						
18	1. () the appearance of the defendant as required.						
19	() and/or						
20	2. () the safety of any person or the community.						
21	B. (X) The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient						
22	evidence to the contrary the presumption provided by statute.						
23							
24	III						
25	The Court has considered:						
26	A. the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including						
27	whether the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or						
28	involves a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or						
	2						

destructive device; 1 2 B. the weight of evidence against the defendant; C. 3 the history and characteristics of the defendant; and 4 D. the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community. 5 IV 6 7 The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the 8 of counsel, the Pretrial Services and/or statements and 9 Report/recommendation. 10 V 11 12 The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following: 13 A. () As to flight risk: 14 () As to danger: 15 В. 16 VI 17 () The Court finds that a serious risk exists the defendant will: 18 A. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice. 19 1. () attempt to/ () threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or 20 2. 21 juror. The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following: 22 В. 23 VI 24 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained prior to 25 A. trial. 26 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the 27 B. 28 custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility

separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.

- C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel.
- D. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the United States or on request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: August 11, 2011 MARC L. GOLDMAN

MARC L. GOLDMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE